arXiv:1512.00837v2 [math.AP] 10 Dec 2015

On a quasilinear non-local Benney System

João-Paulo Dias and Filipe Oliveira

Abstract

We study the quasilinear non-local Benney System

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = |u|^2 u + buv \\ v_t + a\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v^2 dx\Big) v_x = -b(|u|^2)_x, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times [0,T],\ T > 0. \end{cases}$$

We establish the existence and uniqueness of strong local solutions to the corresponding Cauchy problem and show, under certain conditions, the blow-up of such solutions in finite time. Furthermore, we prove the existence of global weak solutions and exhibit bound-state solutions to this system.

Keywords Benney systems; Cauchy Problem; Blow-up; Bound-state Solutions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 35Q55, 35L50, 35A05

1 Introduction

The Benney systems ([4]) appear as universal models for describing the interaction between unidimensional short and long waves propagating in dispersive media. For instance, in water waves general theory, such systems can be used to represent the interaction of capillary and gravity waves or internal and surface waves ([13, 9]). In plasma physics, the Benney systems are known to accurately model the interaction between Alfvén and Langmuir waves. Other applications include the study of resonant waves in geometric optics ([8]), the long wave/short wave interactions in bubbly liquids ([1]) or optical-microwave interactions in nonlinear mediums ([5]).

In the case where the amplitudes of the short and long waves are comparable, long waves become considerably weaker and the following quasilinear version of the Benney systems should then be considered (see for instance Eqs. (3.27) and (3.28) in [4]):

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = |u|^2 u + buv \\ v_t + (f(v))_x = c(|u|^2)_x. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Here, b and c are real constants, $u = u_{(y)} + iu_{(z)}$ denotes, in complex notation, the transverse components of the short wave with respect to the direction of propagation $(0x)$, v is the perturbation induced by the long wave and f is a nonlinear polynomial. Contrarely to the case where f is linear (which corresponds to the classical Benney systems), only a few mathematical results concerning (1) exist in the litterature (see [10] and [11] for the existence of weak solutions, [12] and [3] for the study of the Cauchy problem in $\mathbb{R}$ and in the half-line, and [2] for the study of shock wave solutions).

Recently, in order to study nonlinear ion transport phenomena, some attention has been devoted to non-local versions of (1) (see [7], [16]). This constitutes the motivation to consider the following nonlocal quasilinear evolution system, which can be used to model the transport of ions in an electrolytic solution in a large tube:

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = |u|^2 u + buv & (i) \\ v_t + a\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v^2 dx\Big) v_x = -b(|u|^2)_x. & (ii) \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

This system describes the interaction between electrons and ions, whose density and velocity are represented respectively by u (complex-valued) and v (real-valued). Here, $(x,t) \in [0,+\infty[\times[0,T]$, $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We will consider initial data

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H^3(\mathbb{R}^+),\ v(x,0) = v_0(x) \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \tag{3}$$

and boundary conditions

$$\forall t,\ u(0,t) = v(0,t) = \lim_{x\to+\infty} u(x,t) = \lim_{x\to+\infty} v(x,t) = 0. \tag{4}$$

The main difficulty of (2) lies in the presence of the nonlocal term $a\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v^2 dx\Big) v_x$. To the best of our knowledge, results concerning this system do not seem available in the literature. It is easy to check that if $b = 0$ the Cauchy problem of the (decoupled) system can be easily solved, since the transport equation becomes linear ($\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} v^2(x,t)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} v_0(x)dx$). Hence, in the present paper, we will consider the case $b \neq 0$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows:

In the next Section we prove the existence and uniqueness of a local in time strong solution to the Cauchy Problem (2-3-4). This will be achieved by using a technique based on a T. Kato's result (see [15]) concerning hyperbolic

evolution systems, which we will adapt to the non-local case.The derivative-loss in the right-hand-side of (2-i) will be handled by performing an adequate change of the dependent variables, following the ideas in [12] and [17].

In Section 3, we will start by exhibiting a few invariants for the flow of (2) and, with some additional requirements on the initial data, we derive a blow-up in time result of the local strong solution of the Cauchy problem (2-3-4). We will apply a virial technique developped in the seminal works of R.T. Glassey (cf. [14]) concerning nonlinear Schrödinger equations (see also [3] for a related result in the local situation). The function

$$t \to \int |x|^2 |u(x,t)|^2 dt,$$

used in the classical situation, will be replaced by

$$t \to \frac{1}{2} \int x^2 |u|^2 dx + \int_0^t \int x v^2 dx d\tau + b^2 \int_0^t \int x |u|^2 dx d\tau,$$

for which a suitable inequality will be proved.

In Section 4 we prove the existence of a global in time (that is, in every time interval of the form $[0,T]$, $T>0$) weak solution of the Cauchy problem (2-3-4) by applying the vanishing viscosity method to system (2) with a parabolic regularization of the transport equation and by deriving suitable *a priori* estimates leading to a term of boundary-layer type.

Finally, in the last Section we show, in some special cases, the existence of bound-state solutions to (2).

2 Local existence and uniqueness

Following [12], for $u_0 \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H^3(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $v_0 \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ let us take (u,v) a possible solution in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times [0,T]$, $T>0$, of the Cauchy problem (2-3-4) and make the following formal computations:

By setting $F = u_t$ we obtain, from (2), $iF + u_{xx} - u = |u|^2 u + buv - u$ and so, with $\Delta = \dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$,

$$u = (\Delta - 1)^{-1}(|u|^2 u + u(bv-1) - iF). \tag{5}$$

Differentiating (2-i) with respect to t leads to

$$iF_t + F_{xx} = 2|u|^2F + u^2\overline{F} + bFv + buv_t$$

and, using (2-ii),

$$iF_t + F_{xx} = 2|u|^2F + u^2\overline{F} + bFv - b^2u(|u|^2)_x - abu\Big(\int v^2dx\Big)v_x, \qquad (6)$$

where $\displaystyle\int v^2dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v^2(x,t)dx$.

These formal computations suggest us to consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} iF_t + F_{xx} = 2|u|^2F + u^2\overline{F} + bFv - b^2u|\tilde{u}|_x^2 - abu\Big(\int v^2dx\Big)v_x & (i) \\ v_t + a(\int v^2dx\Big)v_x = -b|\tilde{u}|_x^2 & (ii), \end{cases} \qquad (7)$$

$$F(x,0) = F_0(x) \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+), \quad v(x,0) = v_0(x) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+), \qquad (8)$$

where u and $\tilde{u}$ are given in terms of F by

$$u(x,t) = u_0(x) + \int_0^t F(x,\tau)d\tau$$

and

$$\tilde{u}(x,t) = (\Delta - 1)^{-1}(|u|^2u + u(bv - 1) - iF).$$

Since, for $F \in H_0^1$, the regularization provided by the operator $(\Delta - 1)^{-1}$ puts $\tilde{u}$ in $H_0^1 \cap H^3$ and there are no derivative losses on the right-hand-side of (7-i).

The following lemma will be proved using an adaptation of the general result of T. Kato (Theorem 6 in [15]) on quasilinear systems:

Lemma 2.1 *Let $(F_0, v_0) \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \times (H^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))$.*
Then, there exists $T > 0$ and a unique strong solution (F, v) of the Cauchy problem (7-8), with

$$(F,v) \in \Big(C^j([0,T]; H^{1-2j}(\mathbb{R}^+)) \times C^j([0,T]; H^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}^+))\Big) \bigcap \Big(C([0,T]; H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \times C([0,T]; H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))\Big), \quad j = 0, 1. \qquad (9)$$

Note that this Lemma implies the following result:

Theorem 2.2 *Assume that* (u_0, v_0) *verifies* (3). *Then there exists* $T > 0$ *and a unique strong solution* (u, v) *of the Cauchy problem (2-3-4) with*

$$(u,v) \in \Big(C^j([0,T];H^{3-2j}(\mathbb{R}^+)) \times C^j([0,T];H^{2-j}(\mathbb{R}^+))\Big) \bigcap \Big(C^j([0,T];H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \times C^j([0,T];H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))\Big), \quad j=0,1. \quad (10)$$

Indeed, if (F, v) is a solution of (7), we obtain $u_t = F$ and $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$. We derive

$$(iu_t + u_{xx})_t = 2|u|^2F + u^2\overline{F} + bFv - b^2u|\tilde{u}|_x^2 - abu\Big(\int v^2dx\Big)v_x = 2|u|^2u_t + u^2\overline{u}_t + bu_tv + buv_t.$$

Hence, $(iu_t + u_{xx} - |u|^2u - buv)_t = 0$ and $iu_t + u_{xx} - |u|^2u - buv = \phi_0(x)$, where

$$\phi_0 = iF_0 + u_0'' - |u_0|^2u_0 - bu_0v_0.$$

If we set $F_0 = i(u_0'' - |u_0|^2u_0 - bu_0v_0)$, we obtain $\phi_0 = 0$ and (u, v) satisfies (2-i).
Moreover,

$$u = (\Delta - 1)^{-1}(|u|^2u + u(bv - 1) - iu_t) \quad (11)$$

and therefore $\tilde{u} = u$ and (u, v) satisfies (2-ii).
Finally, note that $u_t = F \in C([0,T], H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))$ and that

$$u(\cdot,t) = u_0(\cdot) + \int_0^t F(\cdot,\tau)d\tau \in C([0,T];H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))$$

and that by (11) we obtain $u \in C([0,T];H^3(\mathbb{R}^+))$.

We now sketch the proof of Lemma 2.1. First, we need to set the Cauchy problem (7-8) in the framework of real spaces, in order to apply a variant of Theorem 6 in [15] (see also [12] for a related result in the quasilinear local case). We introduce the new variables $F_1 = Re(F)$, $F_2 = Im(F)$, $u_1 = Re(u)$, $u_2 = Im(u)$ and, with $U = (F_1, F_2, v)$, $F_{1_0} = Re(F_0)$ and $F_{2_0} = Im(F_0)$, the Cauchy problem (7-8) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{cases} U_t + A(U)U = g(t,U) \\ U(x,0) = (F_{1_0}, F_{2_0}, v_0) \in (H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))^2 \times (H^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)), \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where

$$A(U) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta & 0 \\ -\Delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Big(\int v^2dx\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$g(t,U)=\left(\begin{array}{c} 2|u|^2F_2-(u_1^2-u_2^2)F_2+2u_1u_2F_1+bF_2v-b^2u_2|\tilde{u}|_x^2-abu_2\Big(\int v^2dx\Big) \\ 2|u|^2F_1-(u_1^2-u_2^2)F_1+2u_1u_2F_2-bF_1v+b^2u_1|\tilde{u}|_x^2+abu_1\Big(\int v^2dx\Big) \\ -b|\tilde{u}|_x^2. \end{array}\right)$$

Note that $A(U)$ and $g(t,U)$ are non-local.
We now set $X=(H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+))^2\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $Y=(H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))^2\times(H^2(\mathbb{R}^+)\cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))$ and introduce the isomorphism

$$S=I-\Delta\,:\,Y\to X.$$

Moreover,

$$A\,:\,U=(F_1,F_2,v)\in W\to G(X,1,\beta),$$

where W is an open ball of Y centered at the origin with radius $R>0$ and $G(X,1,\beta)$ denotes the set of linear operators D in X such that $-D$ generates a C_0-semigroup $\{e^{-tD}\}$, with

$$\|e^{-tD}\|\le e^{\beta t},\ t\in[0,+\infty[,\ \beta=\frac{1}{2}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^+}\left|av_x\int v^2dx\right|\le aR^2C(R),$$

$C(\cdot)$ continuous.
It is easy to check that g verifies, for fixed $T>0$, $\|g(t,U)\|_Y\le\lambda$, $t\in[0,T]$, $U\in C([0,T];W)$, and for $U\in W$, $SA(U)S^{-1}=A(U)$. Moreover (cf. [12] for a similar result), we have, for each pair (U,U^*), $U=(F_1,F_2,v)$ and $U^*=(F_1^*,F_2^*,v^*)$ in $C([0,T];W)$,

$$\|g(t,U)-g(t,U^*)\|_{L^1(0,T;X)}\le c(T)\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|U(t)-U^*(t)\|_X,\qquad(13)$$

where $c(\cdot)$ is a continuous increasing function with $c(0)=0$. Finally, it is easy to prove that

$$\|A(U_1)-A(U_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)}\le c_1\|U_1-U_2\|_X,$$

for $U_1,U_2\in W$, c_1 not depending on $t\in[0,T]$.
Now, Lemma 2.1 is a consequence of Theorem 6 in [15], adapted to the non-local operator A and the non-local right-hand-side $g(t,U)$. where the local condition (7.7) is replaced by (13), which is sufficient for the proof.

3 A blow-up result

In what follows, for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we will denote $\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f dx$ by $\int f dx$ or simply by $\int f$ when there is no ambiguity.

We begin this section by presenting some invariants of the system (2):

Proposition 3.1 *Let (u, v) a local solution of the Cauchy-Problem (2-3-4) as in the Theorem 2.2. Then, the mass*

$$P(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |u(x,t)|^2 dx, \tag{14}$$

the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |u_x(x,t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |u(x,t)|^4 dx$$
$$+\frac{b}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v|u|^2 dx + \frac{a}{8}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v^2 dx\Big)^2 \tag{15}$$

and the momentum

$$\mathcal{M}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v^2(x,t)dx - 2Im\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} u(x,t)\overline{u}_x(x,t)dx \tag{16}$$

are conserved: $\forall t \in [0, T[$, $\mathcal{P}(t) = \mathcal{P}(0), \mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}(0)$ and $\mathcal{M}(t) = \mathcal{M}(0)$.

Proof

Multiplying (2)-i by $\overline{u}$ and integrating the imaginary part gives $\frac{d}{dt}\int |u|^2 = 0$.

Also, multiplying (2)-i by $\overline{u}_t$ and integrating the real part yields

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int |u_x|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\frac{d}{dt}\int |u|^4 + \frac{b}{2}\int v|u|_t^2. \tag{17}$$

Using (2)-ii,

$$\int v_t|u|^2 = -a\int v^2 \int |u|^2 v_x = a\int v^2 \int v(|u|^2)_x =$$
$$= -\frac{a}{b}\int v^2 \int v v_t = -\frac{a}{4b}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\int v^2\Big)^2.$$

Combining this identity with (17),

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int |u_x|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\frac{d}{dt}\int |u|^4 + \frac{b}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int v|u|^2 + \frac{a}{8}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\int v^2\Big)^2,$$

that is, $\dfrac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) = 0$.

Finally, we notice, by integrating by parts, that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int u\overline{u}_x = \int u\overline{u}_{xt} + \int u_t\overline{u}_x = 2\int u_t\overline{u}_x. \tag{18}$$

Now, by the Duhamel's formula for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, we have

$$u(t) = e^{i\Delta t}u_0 - i\int_0^t e^{i\Delta(t-s)}[|u|^2u + buv](s)ds.$$

Hence, if

$$u_0 \in H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H^3(\mathbb{R}^+) \tag{19}$$

we derive, taking the x-derivative in the formula, that $u \in C([0,T]; H_0^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$. Under this hypothesis, since $u_t = iu_{xx} - i|u|^2u - ibuv$, we obtain by integrating by parts that

$$2Im\int u_t\overline{u}_x = 2Re\int u_{xx}\overline{u}_x - 2Re\int |u|^2u\overline{u}_x - 2bRe\int vu\overline{u}_x = -2b\int v|u|_x^2.$$

By (2)-ii,

$$2Im\int u_t\overline{u}_x = -2b\int v(|u|^2)_x = 2\int vv_t = \frac{d}{dt}\int v^2,$$

and, in view of (18), it follows that $\dfrac{d}{dt}\mathcal{M}(t) = 0$. ■

Now, assume that

$$xu_0, x^{\frac{1}{2}}v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \tag{20}$$

Using the multiplier $\mu_\epsilon(x) = e^{-\epsilon x}$ and letting $\epsilon \to 0^+$ it is easy to justify (see [3] and [6] for similar arguments) the following formal computations:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int x^2|u|^2 = -Im\int x^2\overline{u}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 2Im\int x\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\overline{u}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\int x^2|u|^2dx &= 2\frac{d}{dt}Im\int x\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\overline{u}dx\\
&= 2Im\int x\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x\partial t}\overline{u}dx + 2Im\int x\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial t}dx\\
&= -2Im\int \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\overline{u}dx - 2Im\int x\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x}dx + 2Im\int x\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial t}dx\\
&= -2Im\int \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\overline{u}dx - 4Im\int x\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x}dx\\
&= -2Re\int \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}\overline{u}dx + 2Re\int |u|^4dx + 2bRe\int v|u|^2dx\\
&\quad -4Re\int x\frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x}\Big(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - |u|^2u - buv\Big)dx\\
&= 2\int |u_x|^2dx + 2\int |u|^4dx + 2b\int |u|^2vdx - 2\int x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}|u_x|^2dx\\
&\quad + \int x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}|u|^4dx + 2b\int x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}|u|^2vdx\\
&= 4\int |u_x|^2dx + \int |u|^4dx + 2b\int |u|^2vdx\\
&\quad -2\int x\Big(v_t + a\Big(\int v^2dx\Big)v_x\Big)vdx\\
&= 4\int |u_x|^2dx + \int |u|^4dx + 2b\int |u|^2vdx\\
&\quad -\frac{d}{dt}\int xv^2dx + a\Big(\int v^2dx\Big)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

Lemma 3.2 *Under the assumptions* (19) *and* (20)*, the functions*

$$t \to \int x^2|u|^2dx \qquad \text{and} \qquad t \to \int xv^2dx$$

are respectively in $C^2([0,T])$ *and* $C^1([0,T])$*, and*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\int x^2|u|^2dx + \int_0^t\int xv^2dxd\tau\Big]\\
&\qquad = 4\int |u_x|^2 + \int |u|^4dx + 2b\int |u|^2vdx + a\Big(\int v^2\Big)^2. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

Under the supplementary assumption that $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we can also deduce that the function

$$t \to \int x|u|^2dx$$

is in $C^1([0,T])$ and that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int x|u|^2dx = -2Im\int u\frac{\partial\overline{u}}{\partial x}dx. \tag{22}$$

Hence, we have, by (22) and by the conservation of the momentum the following result:

Lemma 3.3 *Under the assumption* (19) *and and with* $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ *we have, for all* $t \in [0,T[$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int x|u|^2dx = \mathcal{M}(0) - \int v^2dx. \tag{23}$$

Since, by (21) and the conservation of the energy, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\int x^2|u|^2dx + \int_0^t\int xv^2dxd\tau\Big] = 8\mathcal{E}(0) - \int |u|^4dx - 2b\int |u|^2vdx,$$

we obtain that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\int x^2|u|^2dx + \int_0^t\int xv^2dxd\tau + b^2\int_0^t x|u|^2dxd\tau\Big]$$

$$= 8\mathcal{E}(0) - \int |u|^4dx - 2b\int |u|^2vdx - b^2\int v^2dx + b^2\mathcal{M}(0) \leq 8\mathcal{E}(0) + b^2\mathcal{M}(0).$$

Hence, under the assumptions (19) and (20), the function

$$\phi\,:\,t \to \frac{1}{2}\int x^2|u|^2dx + \int_0^t\int xv^2dxd\tau + b^2\int_0^t\int x|u|^2dxd\tau$$

is in $C^2([0,T])$, $\phi \geq 0$ and verifies the inequality

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\phi(t) \leq 8\mathcal{E}(0) + b^2\mathcal{M}(0). \tag{24}$$

By a classical virial argument, we have proven the following result:

Theorem 3.4 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 and* (19),(20), *if*

$$8\mathcal{E}(0) + b^2\mathcal{M}(0) < 0,$$

then there is no global in time solution to the Cauchy Problem (2-3-4).

4 Existence of a global weak solution

Let us fix the time interval $[0,T]$, $T>0$. We will use the approach known as the vanishing viscosity method and so we start by studying the following regularized version of (2):

$$\begin{cases} iu_t^\epsilon + u_{xx} = |u^\epsilon|^2 u^\epsilon + bu^\epsilon v^\epsilon & (i) \\ v_t^\epsilon + a\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v^{\epsilon 2} dx\Big) v_x^\epsilon = -b(|u^\epsilon|^2)_x + \epsilon v_{xx}^\epsilon & (ii) \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

with the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} u^\epsilon(x,0) = u_0(x), & u_0 \in H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \text{ and } x^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+), \\ v^\epsilon(x,0) = v_0(x), & v_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+). \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

We start by stating the corresponding mass and energy identities: if

$$(u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon) \in \Big(C([0,T]; H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))\Big)^2$$

is a solution of the above Cauchy problem, let

$$\mathcal{E}^\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |u_x^\epsilon(x,t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |u^\epsilon(x,t)|^4 dx + \frac{b}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|^2 dx + \frac{a}{8}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (v^\epsilon)^2 dx\Big)^2$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}^\epsilon(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v^{\epsilon 2}(x,t) dx - 2Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} u^\epsilon(x,t) \overline{u^\epsilon}_x(x,t) dx$$

Then, for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\|u^\epsilon(t)\|_2 = \|u_0\|_{L^2} \tag{27}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^\epsilon(t) + \epsilon\frac{b}{2}\int_0^t \int v_x^\epsilon (|u^\epsilon|^2)_x dx d\tau + \epsilon\frac{a}{2}\int_0^t \Big(\int (v^\epsilon)^2 dx\Big)\Big(\int (v_x^\epsilon)^2 dx\Big) d\tau = \mathcal{E}(0). \tag{28}$$

Moreover, if $(u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon) \in C([0,T]; H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+)) \times (C([0,T]; H_2(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))$ it is also easy to derive that, for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\mathcal{M}^\epsilon(t) + 2\epsilon \int_0^t \int (v_x^\epsilon)^2 dx d\tau = \mathcal{M}(0). \tag{29}$$

We point out that, since $u_0 \in H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, if we prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution

$$(u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon) \in \Big(C([0,T]; H^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))\Big)^2$$

to (25-26) we can derive, by the Duhamel formula for the first equation, that $u_\epsilon \in C([0,T]; H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$. Also, since $x^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we can also show that $x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^\epsilon \in C^1([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$ and that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int x|u^\epsilon|^2 dx = -2Im\int u^\epsilon \overline{u^\epsilon}_x dx. \tag{30}$$

Next, assume that we have proved the following result:

Lemma 4.1 *There exists $T' \in]0,T]$ and a unique*

$$(u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon) \in \Big(C([0,T']; H^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))\Big)^2$$

solution to the Cauchy problem (25-26) in $[0,T']$.

To prove that $T' = T$ we need the following Proposition:

Proposition 4.2 *There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and a continuous function h (both independent of a) such that, for all $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, if (u^ϵ, v^ϵ) is a solution of (25-26), then, for all $t \in [0,T]$,*

$$\|u_x^\epsilon(t)\|_2 \leq h(t) \tag{31}$$

Proof In what follows, we drop the superscript ϵ.
Let $g(t) = \|u_x(t)\|_{L^2}$. Given $\delta, \delta_1 > 0$, by (27), (28), (29) and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int v|u|^2 dx\Big| &\leq |b|\|v\|_2\|u\|_4^2 \leq \delta\|v\|\|_2^4 + c(\delta)\|u\|_4^{\frac{8}{3}} \\ &\leq \delta\|v\|\|_2^4 + c(\delta)\|u_0\|_2^2\|u_x\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\leq \delta\|v\|\|_2^4 + c(\delta)(\delta_1\|u_x\|_2^2 + c(\delta_1)\|u_0\|_2^3)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|v\|_2^4 \leq c_0 + c_1\|u_0\|_2^2\|u_x\|_2^2.$$

By choosing convenient δ and $delta_1$ we derive, by (28),

$$\begin{aligned}g(t) = \|u_x(t)\|_2^2 &\leq c_2 + c_2\epsilon\int_0^t\int v_x(|u|^2)_x dx d\tau \\ &\leq c_2 + c_3\epsilon\int_0^t \|v_x\|_2 g(\tau)^{\frac{3}{4}} d\tau,\end{aligned}$$

with c_2 and c_3 independent of ϵ and a. Now, by (29), we can proceed as in the proof of Lemma 1.3 in [10] to conclude the proof of Proposition 4.2. ■

Combining this result with (29) we derive, for $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ (independent of a),

$$\int (v^\epsilon)^2 dx + \epsilon \int_0^t (v_x^\epsilon)^2 dx d\tau \leq h_1(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \tag{32}$$

where h_1 is a continuous function independent of ϵ and a.

We pass to the proof that $T' = T$. We have, for $t \leq T'$ and by once more dropping the ϵ superscript,

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta}u_0 - i\int_0^t e^{i\Delta(t-\tau)}[|u|^2 u + buv](\tau)d\tau \tag{33}$$

and

$$v(t) = e^{t\Delta}v_0 + \int_0^t e^{i\Delta(t-\tau)}[-b(|u|^2)_x - a\Big(\int v^2 dx\Big)v_x](\tau)d\tau \tag{34}$$

By (27), (31), (32) and the well-known estimates for the heat equation

$$\Big\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}e^{t\Delta}\rho\Big\|_\infty \leq \frac{c}{t^{\frac{3}{4}}}\|\rho\|_1, \qquad \Big\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}e^{t\Delta}\rho\Big\|_2 \leq \frac{c}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}\|\rho\|_2, \quad t > 0,$$

we derive from (34) by the Gronwall's inequality that for $t \leq T'$

$$\|v_x(t)\|_2 \leq h_2(t), \tag{35}$$

where h_2 is continuous in $[0,T]$.
Now, from (25-i), and since we have an estimate for $\Big\|b|u|^2 + a\Big(\int v^2 dx\Big)\Big\|_{H^1}$, we derive for $\|v_{xx}(t)\|_2$ an estimate similar to (35) using the properties of the heat kernel.
Finally, by (33), the previous estimates and the properties of the Schrödinger group, we can deduce a similar estimate for $\|u_{xx}(t)\|_2$, and this achieves the proof that $T' = T$.

We now sketch the prooof of Lemma 4.1. To this end we consider the product space $\mathbb{B}_R^T \times B_R^T$, where $\mathbb{B}_R^T$ denotes the set of complex valued functions ω such that

$$\omega \in X_T = C([0,T]; H^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \,:\, \|\omega\|_{L^\infty(0,T,H^2)} \leq R$$

and B_R^T is the correspondent real-valued version of $\mathbb{B}_R^T$.
We consider the map

$$(\tilde{u},\tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{B}_R^T \times B_R^T \to (u,v) = \Phi(\tilde{u},\tilde{v}) \in X_R^T \times X_R^T$$

where (u,v) is the solution of the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = |\tilde{u}|^2\tilde{u} + b\tilde{u}\tilde{v} \\ v_t + a\Big(\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \tilde{v}^2 dx\Big)\tilde{v}_x = -b(|\tilde{u}|^2)_x + \epsilon\tilde{v}_{xx} \end{cases}$$

with initial data (26).
Since

$$a\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \tilde{v}^2 dx\Big)\tilde{v} + b|\tilde{u}|^2 \in C([0,T];H^2(\mathbb{R}^+)\cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap C^1([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$$

it is not difficult to prove that there exists $T' \in]0,T]$ and $R>0$ such that the map Φ is a strict contraction of $\mathbb{B}_R^{T'} \times B_R^{T'}$ and, so, by the Banach fixed-point Theorem, we obtain a unique local in time solution of the Cauchy-Problem (25-26) in that space. Since one can take $T' = T$, as explained, and that, as observed above, if $u_0 \in H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $u \in C([0,T];H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$.
Hence, we have shown the following result:

Theorem 4.3 *There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for each $T>0$ and $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$ there exists a unique solution*

$$(u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon) \in C([0,T];H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+)) \times (C([0,T];H^2(\mathbb{R}^+)\cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))$$

of the Cauchy Problem (25-26). Moreover, $x^{\frac{1}{2}}u \in C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$.

Now, for fixed $T>0$ and $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$, let (u^ϵ, v^ϵ) be the solution obtained in Theorem 4.3. By Proposition 4.2 and (32), we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} \{u^\epsilon\} \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(]0,T[;H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \\ \left\{\dfrac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t}\right\} \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(]0,T[;H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)), \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{cases} \{v^\epsilon\} \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(]0,T[;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)) \\ \left\{\dfrac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t}\right\} \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(]0,T[;H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^+)), \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

and

$$\epsilon\int_0^t\int (v_x^\epsilon)^2 dx d\tau \le h_1(t),\ t\in[0,T], \tag{38}$$

where h_1 is a continuous function independent of ϵ or a. Recall that for each compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, the injection $H^1(I) \hookrightarrow L^2(I)$ is compact. Hence, the injection

$$W_I = \Big\{\omega \in L^2(]0,T[;H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \,:\, \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} \in L^2(]0,T[;H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+))\Big\} \hookrightarrow L^2(]0,T[,L^2(I))$$

is compact. By applying a standard diagonal extraction, there exists

$$(u,v) \in L^\infty(]0,T[;H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \times L^\infty(]0,T[;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$$

and a subsequence still denoted by $(u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon)_\epsilon$ such that

$$u^\epsilon \rightharpoonup u \begin{cases} \text{in } L^\infty(]0,T[;H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \text{ weak}*, \\ \text{in } L^2(]0,T[;L^2(I)) \text{ strong, } I \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \text{a.e. in }]0,T[\times\mathbb{R}^+, \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

$$\frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^\infty(]0,T[;H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)) \text{ weak}*, \tag{40}$$

$$v^\epsilon \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } L^\infty(]0,T[;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)) \text{ weak}*, \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{\partial v^\epsilon}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^\infty(]0,T[;H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^+)) \text{ weak}*, \tag{42}$$

hence

$$(u,v) \in C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)) \times C([0,T];H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^+)),\ u(0)=u_0 \text{ and } v(0)=v_0. \tag{43}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int (v^\epsilon)^2 dx = 2\int v^\epsilon v_t^\epsilon dx = -2b\int v^\epsilon |u^\epsilon|_x^2 dx - 2\epsilon \int (v^\epsilon)^2 dx,$$

and so, by (36), (37) and (38), for $e \le \epsilon_0$,

$$\int_0^t \Big|\frac{d}{dt}\int (v^\epsilon)^2 dx\Big| dt \le h_2(t),$$

where h_2 is a continuous function independent of ϵ and a. Hence, $\Big\{\int (v^\epsilon)^2 dx\Big\}_\epsilon$ is bounded in $W^{1,1}(]0,T[)$ and, since the injection

$$W^{1,1}(]0,T[) \hookrightarrow L^q(]0,T[)$$

is compact for $1 \leq q < +\infty$, we derive that, up to a subsequence,

$$\int (v^\epsilon)^2 dx \to c(t) \ \text{ in } L^q(]0,T[) \text{ and a.e. in}]0,T[. \tag{44}$$

Note also that $c(t) \in L^\infty(]0,T[)$ (since $W^{1,1}(]0,T[) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(]0,T[)$). In particular, we deduce that

$$\int (v^\epsilon(x,t))^2 dx \leq c(t) \ \text{ a.e. in }]0,T[. \tag{45}$$

Recall that we have, by (29) and (30), that

$$M(0) = \int v_0^2 - 2Im \int u_o \overline{u_0}_x = \int (v^\epsilon)^2 - 2Im \int u^\epsilon \overline{u^\epsilon}_x + 2\epsilon \int_0^t \int (v_x^\epsilon)^2 dx d\tau$$

$$\geq \int (v^\epsilon)^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \int x|u^\epsilon|^2,$$

and so

$$M(0)t + \int x|u_0|^2 \geq \int_0^t c(\tau)d\tau + \liminf \int x|u^\epsilon|^2.$$

It is easy to prove, by (30), that $\int x|u|^2 \leq \liminf \int x|u^\epsilon|^2$.. Hence, we deduce, for $t \in [0,T]$, that

$$M(0)t + \int x|u|^2 \geq \int_0^t c(\tau)d\tau + \int x|u|^2. \tag{46}$$

By the previous estimates, we derive, letting $\epsilon \to 0$ in (25) after a suitable integration,

$$i \int_0^T \int u\phi_t dx dt + \int_0^T \int u_x \phi_x dx dt + \int u_0(x)\phi(x,0)dx$$
$$+ \int_0^T \int |u|^2 u\phi dx dt + b \int_0^T vu\phi dx dt = 0, \tag{47}$$

$$i \int_0^T \int v\psi_t dx dt + a \int_0^T c(t) \Big(\int v\psi_x dx \Big) dt + \int v_0(x)\psi(x,0)dx$$
$$- b \int_0^T \int (|u|^2)_x \psi dx dt = 0, \tag{48}$$

for all functions $\phi, \psi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times [0,T[)$, ϕ being complex and ψ real-valued, and with

$$u \in L^\infty(]0,T[; H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap C([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)),$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^\infty(]0,T[; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)),$$

$$v \in L^\infty(]0,T[; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap C([0,T]; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)),$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^\infty(]0,T[; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+))$$

since, in $\mathcal{D}'$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} iu_t + u_{xx} = |u|^2 u + buv \\ v_t + ac(t)v_x = -b(|u|^2)_x \end{array} \right. \tag{49}$$

and

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad v(0) = v_0. \tag{50}$$

Now, for each $\delta > 0$ we choose a function $\gamma_\delta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\gamma_\delta(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ for } x \geq \delta \\ 0 \text{ for } x \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \end{array} \right. \quad \gamma_\delta \geq 0,\ \gamma_\delta' \geq 0. \tag{51}$$

We have the following auxiliary system in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times]0,T[$ in u and v for each $\delta > 0$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} i(\gamma_\delta u)_t + \gamma_\delta u_{xx} = \gamma_\delta |u|^2 u + b\gamma_\delta uv \\ (\gamma_\delta v)_t + ac(t)\gamma_\delta v_x = -b\gamma_\delta (|u|^2)_x \end{array} \right.$$

that is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} i(\gamma_\delta u)_t + (\gamma_\delta u)_{xx} = 2\gamma_\delta' u_x + \gamma_\delta'' u + \gamma_\delta |u|^2 u + b\gamma_\delta uv \\ (\gamma_\delta v)_t + ac(t)(\gamma_\delta v)_x = ac(t)\gamma_\delta' v - b\gamma_\delta (|u|^2)_x. \end{array} \right. \tag{52}$$

We can consider this system in $\mathbb{R} \times]0,T[$ by extending u and v to this set by 0, with initial data (u_0 and v_0 also extended)

$$(\gamma_\delta u)(0) = \gamma_\delta u_0, \quad (\gamma_\delta v)(0) = \gamma_\delta v_0. \tag{53}$$

We now consider, for $0 < \epsilon < \frac{\delta}{2}$, the usual family of mollifiers $\rho_\epsilon \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, with $0 \leq \rho_\epsilon \leq 1$, $supp\, \rho_\epsilon \subset \overline{B}(0,\epsilon)$ and $\int \rho_e = 1$. We derive by (52) and (53) that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} i(\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta u)_t + (\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta u)_{xx} = & 2\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta' u_x + \rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta'' u + \rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta |u|^2 u \\ & + b\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta uv \\ (\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta v)_t + ac(t)(\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta v)_x = & ac(t)\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta' v - b\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta (|u|^2)_x, \end{array} \right. \tag{54}$$

with

$$(\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta u)(0) = \rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta u_0, \quad (\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta v)(0) = \rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta v_0. \tag{55}$$

Since $\frac{\delta}{2} - \epsilon > 0$, $\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta u$ and $\rho_\epsilon * \gamma_\delta v$ are zero for $x \leq \frac{\delta}{2} - \epsilon$.
Now, for simplicity, we drop the indexes ϵ and γ in the next computations. We easily derive that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int (\rho * \gamma v)^2 = ac(t)\int (\rho * \gamma' v)(\rho * \gamma v) - b\int \rho * \gamma(|u|^2)_x(\rho * \gamma v). \tag{56}$$

Passing to the limit in (56) when $\epsilon \to 0$, we derive

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int \gamma^2 v^2 = \frac{a}{2}c(t)\int (\gamma^2)' v^2 - b\int \gamma^2(|u|_x^2)v. \tag{57}$$

We also derive, from (56), that

$$Im\frac{d}{dt}\int (\rho * \gamma u)(\rho * \gamma \overline{u})_x$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Im\int \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho * \gamma u)(\rho * \gamma \overline{u})_x = & - & 2Re\int (\rho * \gamma' u_x)(\rho * \gamma \overline{u})_x \\ & - & Re\int (\rho * \gamma'' u)(\rho * \gamma \overline{u})_x \\ & - & Re\int (\rho * \gamma |u|^2 u)(\rho * \gamma \overline{u})_x \\ & - & bRe\int (\rho * \gamma v u)(\rho * \gamma \overline{u})_x. \end{array} \tag{58}$$

We have, letting $\epsilon \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} -2Re\int (\rho * \gamma' u_x)(\rho * \gamma \overline{u})_x &\to -2Re\int \gamma' u_x(\gamma' \overline{u} + \gamma \overline{u}_x) \\ &= -\int (\gamma^2)'|u_x|^2 - \int (\gamma')^2(|u|^2)_x. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

In addition, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} -Re\int (\rho * \gamma'' u)(\rho * \gamma \overline{u})_x &\to -Re\int \gamma''\gamma'|u|^2 - Re\int \gamma''\gamma u\overline{u}_x \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int ((\gamma')^2)_x|u|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int \gamma''\gamma(|u|^2)_x \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int (\gamma')^2(|u|^2)_x + \frac{1}{2}\int (\gamma')^2(|u|^2)_x + \frac{1}{4}\langle (|u|^2)_{xx}, (\gamma^2)'\rangle_{H^{-1}\times H_0^1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int (\gamma')^2(|u|^2)_x + \frac{1}{4}\int \gamma'''|u|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Hence, by (59) and (60) we deduce

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Big(-2Re\int(\rho*\gamma' u_x)(\rho*\gamma\overline{u})_x - Re\int(\rho*\gamma'' u)(\rho*\gamma\overline{u})_x\Big) \tag{61}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\int(\gamma^2)'|u_x|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\int(\gamma^2)'''|u|^2.$$

Moreover, we have

$$-Re\int(\rho*\gamma|u|^2u)(\rho*\gamma\overline{u})_x \to -\frac{1}{2}\int(\gamma^2)'|u|^4 - Re\int\gamma^2|u|^2u\overline{u}_x$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4}\int(\gamma^2)'|u|^4. \tag{62}$$

Finally,

$$-bRe\int(\rho*\gamma vu)(\rho*\gamma\overline{u})_x \to -bRe\int\gamma vu(\gamma\overline{u})_x =$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}b\int\gamma^2 v(|u|^2)_x - \frac{1}{2}b\int(\gamma^2)'v|u|^2. \tag{63}$$

Hence, by the previous estimates, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\gamma^2v^2 = Im\frac{d}{dt}\int\gamma u(\gamma\overline{u})_x + \frac{a}{2}c(t)\int(\gamma^2)'v^2 + \int(\gamma^2)'|u_x|^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int(\gamma^2)'|u|^4 + b\int(\gamma^2)'v|u|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int(\gamma^2)'''|u|^2.$$

Since

$$\Big|b\int(\gamma')^2|u|^2vdx\Big| \le \frac{1}{2}\int(\gamma')^2|u|^4 + \frac{b^2}{2}\int(\gamma^2)'v^2$$

we derive, integrating in time,

$$\Big(\int\gamma^2v^2dx - 2Im\int\gamma^2u\overline{u}_xdx\Big) - \Big(\int\gamma^2v_0^2dx - 2Im\int\gamma^2u_0\overline{u_0}_xdx\Big) \ge$$

$$-b^2\int_0^t\int(\gamma')^2v^2dxd\tau - \int_0^t\int(\gamma^2)'''|u|^2dxd\tau,$$

and so, integrating over $[0,t]$ and letting $\delta\to 0$,

$$\int_0^t\Big(\int v^2dx - 2Im\int u\overline{u}_xdx\Big)d\tau - M(0)t \tag{64}$$

$$\geq \liminf_{\delta\to 0}\Big(-\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\Big[b^2\int(\gamma_\delta^2)'v^2dx+(\gamma_\delta^2)'''|u|^2\Big]dsd\tau\Big).$$

Since $x^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ it is easy to derive that $x^{\frac{1}{2}}u\in W^{1,1}(]0,T[;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int x|u|^2=-2Im\int u\overline{u}_x.$$

Hence, by (63), we deduce

$$\int_0^t\int v^2dxd\tau+\int x|u|^2dx\geq M(0)t+\int x|u_0|^2+ \tag{65}$$

$$\liminf_{\delta\to 0}\Big(-\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\Big[b^2\int(\gamma_\delta^2)'v^2dx+(\gamma_\delta^2)'''|u|^2\Big]dsd\tau\Big).$$

By (45), (46) and (65) we deduce that $\int_0^t c(\tau)d\tau\geq\int_0^t\int v^2dxd\tau$ and

$$\int_0^t\int v^2dxd\tau\geq\int_0^t c(\tau)d\tau + \liminf_{\delta\to 0}\Big(-\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\Big[b^2\int(\gamma_\delta^2)'v^2dx+(\gamma_\delta^2)'''|u|^2\Big]dsd\tau\Big) \tag{66}$$

a.e. in $[0,T]$.
We can state the following resut:

Theorem 4.4 *Let $T>0$, $u_0\in H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $x^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $v_0\in H^2(\mathbb{R}^+)\cap H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$.*
Then there exists

$$u\in L^\infty(]0,T[;H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^+))\cap C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$$

and

$$v\in L^\infty(]0,T[;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))\cap C([0,T];H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+))$$

verifying the Cauchy problem (47)-(48) *in* $[0,T]$ *and such that*

$$x^{\frac{1}{2}}u\in W^{1,1}(]0,T[;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)),$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\in L^\infty(]0,T[;H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+))$$

and

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\in L^\infty(]0,T[;H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)).$$

Furthermore, there exists $c(t) \in L^\infty(]0,T[)$ *satisfying*

$$c(t) \geq \int v^2(x,t)dx$$

and (66) *a.e. in* $]0,T[$ *with* γ_δ *defined in* (51).

Remark 4.5 *The second term on the right-hand-side of* (66) *is a sort of boundary layer term, null for smooth* u *and* v*. In this case,*

$$c(t) = \int v^2(x,t)dx, \text{ a.e. in } [0,T].$$

5 Bound states

In this section, we look for the existence of traveling-wave solutions to (2) of the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} u(x,t) & = & e^{i(\omega t+kx)}r(x+st) \\ v(x,t) & = & w(x+st), \end{array} \right. \tag{67}$$

where $s \geq 0$ and r, w are real-valued functions defined in $\mathbb{R}_0^+$.
By taking $k = \frac{s}{2}$ we obtain the system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -(\omega + \frac{s^2}{4})r + r'' = r^3 + bwr \\ sw + aw\int w^2 = -br^2 + c, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}, \end{array} \right. \tag{68}$$

that is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu r + r'' = \lambda r^3 \\ w = \dfrac{-b}{a\alpha + s}\Big(r^2 - \dfrac{c}{b}\Big), \qquad \alpha = \displaystyle\int w^2, \end{array} \right. \tag{69}$$

where

$$\mu = -\omega - \frac{s^2}{4} - \frac{bc}{s+a\alpha} \text{ and } \lambda = 1 - \frac{b^2}{s+a\alpha}.$$

Fixing λ, μ and γ, the general theory of ODEs states the existence of a maximal interval $I = [0, \overline{x}[$, $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$, such that the Initial Value Problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu r + r'' = \lambda r^3 \\ r(0) = 0,\ r'(0) = \gamma \end{array} \right. \tag{70}$$

admits a unique smooth solution in $C^\infty([0,\overline{x}[)$. Furthermore, an elementary computation yields the following property:

Proposition 5.1 *Let r a smooth solution of (70). Then for all $0 \leq x < \overline{x}$,*

$$E(x) := (r')^2(x) + \mu r^2(x) - \frac{\lambda}{2} r^4(x) = \gamma^2. \tag{71}$$

In particular, note that if $\limsup_{x \to \overline{x}} r(x) = +\infty$ (i.e. $c = 0$), then $\limsup_{+\infty} (r')^2 = \gamma^2$ which is only possible if $\gamma = 0$. Then, $r \equiv 0$.

Next, we prove the following result.

Proposition 5.2 *Let $\lambda, \mu > 0$ and $\gamma = \dfrac{\mu}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}$.*
Then (70) admits a unique solution $r \in C^\infty([0, +\infty[)$. Furthermore, r is increasing and

$$r_\infty := \lim_{x \to +\infty} r(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\lambda}}.$$

Proof Let us now consider the polynomial $P(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2} x^2 - \mu x + \gamma^2$.
Note that we have, for all x,

$$(r')^2(x) = P(r^2(x)) \text{ and } P(r_0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow r_0 = \frac{\mu}{\lambda}.$$

We begin by showing that $r'(x) > 0$ for all x. Indeed, let

$$x_0 = \min\{x > 0 \,:\, r'(x) = 0\}.$$

Then, $r(x_0) = \sqrt{\dfrac{\mu}{\lambda}}$.
This is absurd by the uniqueness of the solution of $\mu r + r'' = \lambda r^3$ such that $r(x_0) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\lambda}}$ and $r'(x_0) = 0$. Indeed, note that the solution $\tilde{r}(x) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\lambda}}$ also satisfies these conditions.
Hence, for all x, $r'(x) > 0$ and for all $x < \overline{x}$, $r(x) < \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\lambda}}$. This is enough to prove that $\overline{x} = +\infty$ and that $\lim_{x \to +\infty} r(x) = r_\infty$. ■

We can now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.3 *Let $a, b \neq 0$. There exists s, k, ω such that (2) admits a smooth solution (u, v) of the form (67) such that*

- $r(0) = 0$, $r \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ *increasing;*
- $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$*;*

Proof Let $a, b \neq 0$ and $\mu^*, \lambda^* > 0$. We consider the solution $r(x)$ given by Proposition (5.2).
we put

$$w = \frac{-b}{s^*}\Big(r^2 - \frac{c}{b}\Big) = \frac{-b}{s^*}(r^2(x) - r_\infty^2),$$

where we have chosen $c = \dfrac{b\mu}{\lambda}$ and s^* such that $\lambda^* = 1 - \frac{b^2}{s^*}$.
We begin by checking that $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$.
Setting $f = r_\infty - r$, $f \geq 0$, f decreasing, and $\lim_{+\infty} f = 0$. Furthermore, from Proposition 5.1, since $\gamma = \frac{\mu}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}$, we obtain that

$$(f')^2 = -\mu(r^2 - r_\infty^2) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(r^4 - r_\infty^4) = (r - r_\infty)(r + r_\infty)(-\mu + \frac{\lambda}{2}(r^2 + r_\infty^2))$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{2}(r - r_\infty)^2(r + r_\infty)^2 \geq \frac{\lambda}{2}(r - r_\infty)^2 r_\infty^2 \geq \frac{\mu}{2} f^2,$$

where we have used twice that $\mu = \lambda r_\infty^2$.
Since $f' < 0$, we obtain that $f' < -\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2}} f$. We can now infer that for $x \geq 0$

$$f(x) < f(0) e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2}} x},$$

that is, $r \to r_\infty$ at exponential rate, yet $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$.
Now, taking s such that $s^* = a \int v^2 + s$ and ω such that $\mu^* = -\omega - \frac{s^2}{4} - \frac{bc}{s^*}$, (r, w) satisfies Theorem 5.3. ■

Acknowledgments
The authors are grateful to Alain Haraux and Benedito Costa Cabral for stimulating discussions and suggestions. J.P. Dias acknowledges support of FCT (Portugal) grant UID/MAT/04561/2013. F. Oliveira acknowledges support of FCT (Portugal) grant UID/MAT/00297/2013.

References

[1] L. Akhatov and D. Khismatullin, Long-wave-short-wave interaction in bubbly liquids, J. Appl. Math. Mech. 63, 917-926 (1999)

[2] P. Amorim, J.P. Dias, M. Figueira and Ph. LeFloch, The Linear Stability of Shock Waves for the Nonlinear Schrödinger-Inviscid Burgers System, J. Dyn. Diff. Equations 25, 49-69 (2013)

[3] S. Antontsev, J.P. Dias, M. Figueira and F. Oliveira, Non-existence of global solutions for a quasilinear Benney system, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 13, 213-222 (2011)

[4] D.J. Benney, A general theory for interactions between short and long waves. Stud. Appl. Math. 56, 81-94 (1977)

[5] K. Bubke, D.C. Hutchings, U. Peschel, F. Lederer, Modulational instability in optical-microwave interaction, Phys. Rev. E 66, 604-609(2002)

[6] T. Cazenave, Semilinear Schrödinger equations, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 10. CIMS and AMS (2003)

[7] S. Cohn, K. Pfabe and J. Redepenning, A similarity solution to a problem in nonlinear ion transport with a nonlocal condition, Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci. 9, 445-461 (1999)

[8] T. Colin and D. Lannes, Long-wave short-wave resonance for nonlinear geometric optics. Duke Math. J. 107, 351-419 (2001)

[9] F. Dias and C. Kharif, Nonlinear gravity and capillarygravity waves. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 31, 301-346 (1999)

[10] J.P. Dias and M. Figueira, Existence of weak solutions for a quasilinear version of Benney equations. J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. 4, 555-563 (2007)

[11] J.P. Dias, M. Figueira and H. Frid, Vanishing viscosity with short wave long wave interactions for systems of conservation laws, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 196, 981-1010 (2010)

[12] J.P. Dias, M. Figueira and F. Oliveira, Existence of local strong solutions for a quasilinear Benney system. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris I 344, 493-496 (2007)

[13] Djordjevic and V.D., Redekopp, L.G.: On two-dimensional packets of capillarygravity waves. J. Fluid Mech. 79, 703-714 (1978)

[14] R.T. Glassey, On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, J. Math. Phys. 18, 1794-1797 (1977)

[15] T.Kato, Quasilinear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 48, 25-70, Springer (1975)

[16] D. Marx and J. Hutter, Ab initio Molecular Dynamics, Basic theory and advanced methods, Cambridge University Press (2009)

[17] F. Oliveira, Stability of the solitons for the one-dimensional Zakharov-Rubenchik equation, Phys. D 175, 220-240 (2003)

João-Paulo Dias
CMAF-CIO and DM-FCUL
Campo Grande, Edifício C6, 1749-016 Lisboa (Portugal)
jpdias@fc.ul.pt

Filipe Oliveira
Mathematics Department, FCT-UNL
Centro de Matemática e Aplicações, CMA-UNL
NOVA University of Lisbon
Caparica Campus, 2829-516 (Portugal)
fso@fct.unl.pt